MS. GAREAU: Good afternoon, we're going to get started here. Welcome. We are here today to conduct a public hearing concerning the rules and regulations for Licensing of Nursing Facilities (216-RICR-40-10-1). This hearing is being conducted under the provisions of R.I.G.L. §23-17 and §42-35. Today is Monday, January 24, 2022, and the time is 4:00 p.m.

My name is Lauren Gareau, Rules Coordinator for the Rhode Island Department of Health, also known as RIDOH. I will be the hearing officer for today's proceeding.

Before we start and to prevent any interruptions of the proceedings, at this time I'd like to ask those of you with cell phones, pagers, and watch alarms to please turn them off or set them to vibrate or silent. Thank you.

Everyone entering a state facility, regardless of vaccination status, is required to wear a face covering. However, you may remove your face covering when it is your turn to speak.

Please note that there are two exits located at the rear of this auditorium. Emergency exits are

located on this level of the building in the far left and near right corners of the floor. In the event of an emergency or fire alarm, please proceed quickly and calmly and follow the exit signs to the nearest emergency exit. The seating capacity of the room will be enforced, and therefore the number of persons participating in the hearing may be limited at any given time in order to comply with the safety and fire codes.

The purpose of the hearing today is to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on the proposed regulations, allow as many people as possible to be heard, and to ensure an accurate record of all comments is obtained. This hearing is intended for your participation only, and it is not intended to provide a forum for discussing debating, arguing, or otherwise having a dialog on the regulations before us with RIDOH personnel as part of this public hearing.

If you would like to speak, the procedure we will use as follows:

1. Please register to speak at the rear of the $\operatorname{\mathsf{room}}$.

2. Speakers will be taken in order of registration.

- 3. Up to five minutes will be allowed for your presentation unless the lack of speakers allows for additional time. If you are reading off of a prepared document, such as a paper copy or electronic version of your testimony, we politely request that you speak clearly and at an unhurried pace so that the recorder, which is located here, can appropriately capture your testimony in its entirety.
- 4. I will indicate when you have one minute of time remaining, if you are unable to complete your testimony in the time allotted, you may have an opportunity to speak if any time is remaining after the other speakers who have signed up complete their testimony.
- 5. When you are called, come to the podium, identify yourself by name and affiliation, if any. Please spell your name and give the full name of your organization if you use an acronym. Make your presentation and make sure to conclude within the allotted time limit of five minutes. If you have a written copy of your statement, we would appreciate

it if you can provide it for the record. If you read from an electronic version of your testimony, we would appreciate it if you could provide a hard copy or e-mail us your testimony.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In accordance with the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act, additional written comments on these proposed amendments will be accepted by Friday, February 4, 2022.

After the conclusion of the public comment period, RIDOH has four options under state law. first option is to file the regulations as posted with the Secretary of State. The second option is to file with minor technical changes, such as correcting spelling, punctuation, etcetera. The third option is to make non-technical changes in what you see before you today which will be addressed in RIDOH's Concise Explanatory Statement and filed with the final regulations and could also necessitate a new public hearing and associated public notice posting. the fourth option is to not file the proposed regulations, in which case the current regulations would remain in effect. Unless otherwise specified by law, regulation, or the at the discretion of

RIDOH, once filed, the regulations will become effective twenty (20) days after filing and have the force of law upon that date.

Are there any questions on how the public hearing will be conducted today?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

At this time, we will introduce the following exhibits, which will be part of the record. first exhibit is the notice of proposed rule-making posted on the Rhode Island Secretary of State's website on January 5, 2022. The second exhibit is a copy of the proposed regulations with revisions indicated, posted to the Rhode Island Secretary of State's website on January 5, 2022. The third exhibit is a copy of existing regulations for Licensing of Nursing Facilities (216-RICR-40-10-1), last filed with the Secretary of State in March 2018. The fourth exhibit is a copy of the benefit-cost analysis, filed with the Secretary of State on January 5, 2022. The fifth exhibit is the concise statement of proposed non-technical amendments to the regulations, filed with the Secretary of State on January 5, 2022. The sixth exhibit is a copy of Rhode Island General Laws §23-17-10, 23-17.5-32, and

| 1 | 23-17.5-33, the enabling statute for these |
|----|---|
| 2 | regulations. And the final exhibit is a copy of the |
| 3 | e-mail dated December 20, 2021, from the Office of |
| 4 | Regulatory Reform confirming that RIDOH was |
| 5 | authorized to move forward with promulgation of these |
| 6 | regulations. |
| 7 | Tonight, we are seeking comments from the public |
| 8 | on the proposed Licensing of Nursing Facilities |
| 9 | regulations with the indicated revisions that can be |
| 10 | found on the Rhode Island Secretary of State's |
| 11 | website. At this time, I would like to call upon the |
| 12 | first speaker, James Nyberg. |
| 13 | MAN: Lauren, I do have one question. Is this |
| 14 | available by Zoom to other people or it's not? |
| 15 | MS. GAREAU: No. |
| 16 | MAN: No? Do you know why? |
| 17 | MS. GAREAU: This room does not have |
| 18 | capabilities to be both via Zoom and in person. |
| 19 | MR. NYBERG: Can you hear me? My name is Jim |
| 20 | Nyberg with Leading Age Rhode Island. On behalf of |
| 21 | the members of Leading Age Rhode Island, thank you |
| 22 | for this opportunity to express our thoughts on the |
| 23 | proposed regulations for licensing of nursing |

facilities. We understand that these regulations are mandated by statute and RIDOH limited discretion in the implementation process, especially involving the minimum staffing statute. But it is important to reiterate at the outset that the industry is facing an extreme workforce crisis due to multiple factors, and these regulations to implement the statute will have a profound negative impact on providers and should be delayed. Compliance will be difficult if not impossible for many. The penalties are severe and unfair, and consumers may not be able to access the care they need in the setting that they need.

As we know, nursing homes have been facing a workforce crisis that has been exacerbated by the pandemic. At the state level, we already have over 1,900 open positions. The recent Omicron variant has created even more chaos as positivity rates reached new highs, thereby forcing staff out. This will obviously have an impact on the staffing data for 2022. We also already know from industry data and RIDOH data that many homes are not able to comply with the mandate. RIDHO estimates that the fines will exceed eight million dollars in just the first

quarter. That basically amounts to a funding cut of 33 million dollars per year. There is no way facilities will survive with these funding reductions, so closures will inevitably happen. This will affect residents and their families as they need to relocate. It will affect access to care as fewer homes can care for people and lead to a downward spiral for the entire industry.

The staffing ratio bill also included a 0.5 percent rate addon intended to help facilities recruit workers. But this funding, which totals about 1.6 million dollars has not been approved by CMS. So, nursing homes have not even received this allocation yet.

All that said, while we believe that a delay of a year in the enforcement of these regulations is necessary, we do have some specific comments focused on certain aspects of these regulations as they are drafted. The first is Section 1.15.6 regarding Essential Care During a Declared State of Emergency. Subsection D(2) of this states that essential caregivers shall not be required to be vaccinated as a condition of being an essential caregiver. This

does not make sense to us given the vaccine mandate for all healthcare professionals and anyone who may have direct patient contact in a nursing home. These essential caregivers are inside the building and providing hands-on assistance to their loved one, so they should be vaccinated. So therefore, we strongly urge RIDOH to delete this language and insert language that essential caregivers shall be required to be vaccinated as a condition of being an essential caregiver.

On a sperate note, again, we would like to see language added focused on immunity for providers should there be an accident or incident when an essential caregiver is providing services to a resident. A facility should not be held liable legally or issued a deficiency should something happen that is out of its control. For example, if an essential caregiver does not comply with a care plan, or a resident falls while under the care of an essential caregiver, etcetera.

Regarding Section 1.16.6, Nursing Services and Minimum Staffing, we just want to raise an issue in terms of the staff that count towards the minimum

| staffing ration. And these relate to the regulations |
|---|
| and the nursing home minimum staffing levels |
| enforcement policy and procedures. Specifically, the |
| hours that are coded as medication aids should be |
| counted as certified nursing assistants to comply |
| with the CNA hours per resident per day. It's not |
| clear that the formula in the enforcement policy and |
| procedures does so. It only references the code for |
| certified nursing assistant hours. The code for |
| medication aids should also be included in the |
| calculation to reduce confusion, particularly because |
| these individuals are also nursing assistants who |
| receive additional training involving medications, |
| but they do perform CNA tasks as well. So, given |
| that our facilities already struggle to meet this |
| staffing mandate, we just want to clarify that |
| medication aids should be included in the certified |
| nursing assistant staffing ratio. Thank you. |
| MS. GAREAU: Thank you. Charlie Galligan. |
| MR. GALLIGAN: Hi, everybody. My name is |
| |

Home in Warren, but I've been battling for the

my dad who are residents at the Grace Barker Nursing

Essential Caregiver Bill for a couple of years now.

And through the course of that I've become a fairly reluctant advocate on this cause. But I have, you know, I was pushing for the state bill and I've become a member of a national advocacy group called The Essential Caregiver Movement. We're pushing for a federal bill, too.

So, Jim, I appreciate your comments. I didn't prepare any remarks. I've done this all before. I did see the notes. I also do just want to put on record that I was, I know we spoke about this, but I want to put on record that I wasn't notified of this hearing despite my having signed up three different ways. I think a sign-in sheet, e-mail, and face to face. So, I would like to be notified of future hearings.

So, addressing the Essential Caregiver Bill, 1-15-6, D1 states that facilities should have 15 days from the date of a declaration of disaster to enact the safety protocols for us essential caregivers.

And I just think now that we no longer are battling the element of surprise, I would like to see that eliminated. Because there's, you know, we can all,

most facilities, you know, value the essential caregivers, and already have systems in place. So, I don't see why we should be waiting 15 days.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Also, in regards to Jim's comment on the vaccination request, or excuse me, the vaccination requirement for essential care givers. I agree with him where it says in D, there's two D(2)s it looks The second D(2) it says, 'essential caregivers shall not be required'. I appreciate the common sense of requiring essential caregivers to be vaccinated, but we would look for in the goodwill of us scrapping that or being willing to scrap that an even immunity, a legal immunity. I'll tell you that I am not here to advocate for any essential caregiver that would come into a facility to try to take care of their own mom, or dad, or nanna, or whomever, and then turn around and try to sue a facility. Those are not the people that we represent. That's nobody I know in this cause and I'm certainly not going to facilitate those people trying to sue the industry.

So, if the industry as a whole is willing to work with us on some of these waiting periods, the 15 days that I mentioned earlier, and another one I'll

mention in a second, we could be, we're prepared to, you know, just compromise, just common sense, which we should have all be working for, with for the past couple of years.

The other one, one of the waiting periods, the other waiting period I'm referencing is that D(5), 'facility that enters a lockdown phase can establish safety measures for residents and essential caregivers. The lockdown shall not exceed a period of 30 days.' That's fine, but we object to this sentence at the end of D(5). 'During the lockdown phase, essential caregivers are not permitted to enter.' Again, there's no real legitimate reason for that to be included. I don't know why that's still included in January of 2022. So, if you guys can work with us on that, we'll try and be fair.

But the other stuff that you objected to - - I

do have a concern, this might be the last one, or the

last one I'll talk about today. E administration

number seven, it reads, 'The nursing facility must

have a policy or procedures in place to replace an

essential caregiver due to necessary circumstances,

including but not limited to, illness or death of the

essential caregiver.' So, for us and any objective observer, that necessary circumstance is just too all encompassing. And while I'm lucky enough to have moved both my parents to the Grace Barker Nursing Home in Warren, and we've got great ownership there, and they're supportive of our efforts to be essential caregivers for the, my friends who are left behind in other facilities with less than scrupulous owners, administrators who are happy to have - - I'm sorry to say, but some are happy to have families out of there. This is just, it makes it too easy for some facilities to just kick them out due to 'necessary circumstances,' that's just way too vague. And I'd ask that that either get removed or clarified. So, you know, I've stated many times, my observations over the past couple of years of just

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So, you know, I've stated many times, my observations over the past couple of years of just the inhumanity of letting these nursing home residents just die alone in there during this period, and some are, it's still happening. And also, you know, I'm getting a crash course in all of this. I never pretended to be an expert, but when, you know - -

MS. GAREAU: One minute.

That's great, thanks. MR. GALLIGAN: we talk about short staffing, I mean, all the essential caregivers, the people like me that I know that, sadly it's a small percentage of family members, of residents who have a family member coming But I can tell you, we largely go in there, do in. our job, and we're taking, you can ask the nurses and CNAs, we're taking a burden off their plate. when I'm in there, they don't have to worry about both of my parents for however many hours I'm in there. And so, we, you know, we're eager to, we're good people. Like, going to bat for your broken down parents, like, you've got to be a good person to do this. So, you know, we can help with the short staffing, and we do help with the short staffing. And I think the CNAs and nurses all tell you that. So, that's all I've got. Thanks. MS. GAREAU: Deb Burton. MS. BURTON: Hello everyone. Deb Burton,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. BURTON: Hello everyone. Deb Burton,

Executive Director RI Elder Info. I have some

technical things that I was hoping might be

addressed. I'm going to go by page number to make

this as succinct as possible.

In the 'Definitions' 1.3, of 'abuse' I would like that to also include dissemination of inappropriate photos that a person would not want out, such as residents who may have been incontinent blasted out across the internet, individuals who are unclothed, etcetera. I'd like that specifically included in the 'abuse.'

On page 38 - - give me one second. Of course, I left my glasses on my desk. The handling of residents' funds. Is that something that we can indicate in these regulations, and perhaps I don't understand this well enough, that those funds will follow the resident in a very timely manner should they be transferred to another facility? In Section 1.15(A), the Reporting of Resident Abuse or Neglect, etcetera, that information is also sent to the Long-Term Care Ombudsman. I'm not sure if that is something that should be amended to indicate that the resident has approved that HIPPA release to the Long-Term Care Ombudsman.

Then going to page 43. Sorry, I clicked wrong.

Give me one moment. I don't know why this is not

jumping to page 43. Forty-three, enter. Forty-three

| 1 | Section B, when a resident is being transferred to |
|-----|--|
| 2 | another facility that they are to be given |
| 3 | information regarding other facilities. The current |
| 4 | regulation indicates that that information would be |
| 5 | obtained by mail from the Rhode Island Department of |
| 6 | Health. Let's make it easier for the facilities and |
| 7 | let them be able to download that from the RIDOH |
| 8 | licensed facility information on the RIDOH website. |
| 9 | Page 45 Section J, I'd like to see the Long-Term |
| L O | Care Ombudsman included. |
| 1 | Page 46(N)(1), same thing, include the Long-Term |
| 12 | Care Ombudsman. Also page 46, Number 2(A), I'd like |
| L3 | to see 'or legal representative including a |
| L 4 | healthcare power of attorney'. Section 2(B), also |
| 15 | page 46, if the resident chooses to have their |
| 16 | information shared. |
| L7 | And page 47, hold on, Section P. Section P, |
| L 8 | 'all information is considered private and |
| L 9 | confidential,' that goes back to the Rhode Island |
| 20 | reportables. |
| 21 | Page 50, W-3. 'For those individuals who have a |
| 22 | communications barrier, unless the communications |
| | |

barrier is one of speaking of another language.' I

23

would like to see this information for section three include that there is regular and consistent attempts to get a medical interpreter that is class appropriate and have that not be specified to facilities that are requiring Medicare and Medicaid. This will provide culturally and appropriate care for individuals who are non-English or have limited English proficiencies and not provided two standards of care, one whether or not you are in a Medicare or Medicaid facility and one whether or not you are in a private facility. Family members are not necessarily the first choice for those class culturally and linguistically appropriate translation services. I'd like to see that as something that is offered on a regular basis.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Also, in regards to residents' rights,
residents' rights are provided upon admission. That
includes information on how to contact the Long-Term
Care Ombudsman and all of the residents' regular
rights. I'd like that to be shared on an annual
basis at minimum with residents so that they can have
a refresher on what their rights are and their right
to receive services.

Page 51 regarding family counsels. So, 1.15.6 Family Counsels (B), it says the Rhode Island Department of Health does not, I believe, have the authority to define exactly what the family council can and cannot address. Also, regarding family councils, I believe under the federal regulations, facilities are required to listen to the views and act upon the grievances. The wording here says that they can be considered. But I believe that the regulation, the federal regulation is that they need to act upon. And in support of the facilities there is a section where the answer in that action can be that there are no resources available. So, if the family council is asking for something that's not possible, facilities do still have a recourse in that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In, let's see, page 52, 1.15.7(A). The funds that are being held while an estate is being opened, I'd like to see that being held in an interest bearing account.

Page 75, 1.17.6 regarding dental care. I would like to see dental care as something that is afforded to residents on an annual basis at minimum.

Page 77 regarding specialized services, I see nothing in the regulations regarding psychological services, mental health, substance use disorder services. If that something can be included in relation to that.

Let's see. Page 82, Section I, can we have a deadline of perhaps 30, 60, or 90 days?

And in page 86, 1.19.6 regarding individuals' access. I would like to see the internet included in addition to a phone or cell phone. Some sort of minimum internet access.

In addition to these changes, I would also like to say we support what Jim Nyberg is saying regarding requiring visitors and care providers, family care providers, unlicensed care providers to also be vaccinated, and that the facilities should not be held for those individuals who may cause or fail to prevent harm that may come to a resident. We don't want to see those facilities incur negative events when visitors are doing things that they should not.

And that concludes.

MS. GAREAU: Thank you. Is there anybody else who would like to speak? Per the Office of Health

| 1 | Regulations policy, we need to at least wait an |
|----|--|
| 2 | additional 10 to 15 minutes for those who may be |
| 3 | running late before we close the hearing. |
| 4 | (HEARING PAUSED) |
| 5 | MR. GALLIGAN: Thank you all for your attendance |
| 6 | and for the information you've offered. This hearing |
| 7 | is now closed. The time is 4:41 p.m. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the transcription of the enclosed audio file(s) was done accurately and to the best of my ability.

Jaime M. Calaux

Jaimie Calouro

Date: March 22, 2022