From: <u>Bill Barbour</u>

To: Duhamel, Peter (DEM)
Subject: [EXTERNAL]:

Date: Sunday, February 20, 2022 1:31:11 PM

Sent from my iPhone. I concur with mark . Also those island should follow mass no sale of stopped bass except by rod and reel $\frac{1}{2}$

 From:
 Lake, John (DEM)

 To:
 Kathy Carros

 Cc:
 Duhamel, Peter (DEM)

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] : Gill net opposition

Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 12:32:06 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Hi Kathy,

Thank you for your comment, it will be added to the record.

Sincerely,

John Lake
Supervising Marine Biologist
Division of Marine Fisheries
3 Fort Wetherill Rd
Jamestown, RI 02835
401-423-1942

From: Kathy Carros < kcarros2464@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 12:26 PM
To: Lake, John (DEM) < john.lake@dem.ri.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Gill net opposition

PETITION

Proposed Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Regulation Change

The Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management will be making a recommendation to RI Marine Fisheries Council and the Director regarding a proposed change to the Commercial Striped Bass Fishery. This regulatory change will expand the Striped Bass fishery to allow the gill net fishing sector, historically prohibited from possessing and selling striped bass, to fish for and sell that species. The undersigned oppose this proposal due to the overall negative impacts on the environment, the striped bass fishery, and other fishing sectors currently allowed to fish for striped bass. We request that the RIDEM and Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Council recommend against this modification to the regulations.

I oppose the opening of gill net fishing for striped bass in RI waters.

Kathy Carros 305 Charlie's Way Westerly, RI 02891

Sent from Kathy's iPhone

 From:
 Richard Reich

 To:
 Duhamel, Peter (DEM)

 Subject:
 [EXTERNAL]: Gill Net Proposal

Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 10:22:01 AM

Peter,

I'm totally against allowing gill nets to sell striped bass. Florida banned gill nets in 1994 and 70% of the population were against gill nets. This restored many species of fish, like red fish. We can't put anymore pressure on stiped bass.

Thank you, be safe.

Richie Reich 50 Southwest Rd Narragansett, RI 02882 401 742-2020 Gansett Custom Tackle
 From:
 Snug Harbor Marina

 To:
 Lake, John (DEM)

 Cc:
 Duhamel, Peter (DEM)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Gillnet Striper Proposal

Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022 12:42:20 PM

Hi John,

The recent proposal to allow the gillnet fishery to participate in the harvesting of striped bass is only supporting the waste gill nets produce.

Gill nets have no way of controlling the number of fish that can be caught in a period of time, thus creating multiple specie mortality. This participation is the exact reason why they want this regulation change is to sell their **bi-catch**, what happens to the additional fish that are discarded. This fishery is by no means consistent with the current conservation efforts.

By allowing another gear type to land striped bass will increase the fishery effort and cause gill nets to infringe on the other user groups participating in the general category. Not to say what else could happen in the future if this is allowed.

Plus, gill nets are left fishing unattended interfering with other fishing gear types in addition to the recreational angler. What happens on closed days? Will they have to remove their nets when striper fishing is closed?

One more reason, the rod and reel commercial anglers in Point Judith have tolerated the early opening of striped bass and the late May opening only supports the Bay anglers and the quota will be closed by the time our anglers can successfully participate. This will cause economic impact on our business losing the sale of the stripers in addition to the loss of fuel, bait and tackle sales. Employee shifts will also be cut back.

For the above reasons, Snug Harbor Marina does not support the addition of the gill netters to the General Category.

Elisa Cahill Snug Harbor Marina dba Snug Harbor Lobster From: Seth Dionne

To: <u>Duhamel, Peter (DEM)</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : RI Striped Bass Gill Netting

Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 10:49:05 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Peter,

Please do NOT allow gill net fishing for striped bass. The fishery is already in bad shape.

Thanks,

Seth Dionne

Fire Protection Designer

Aero Mechanical, Inc. 10 Leah St., Johnston, RI 02919

C 401-480-7577

Please visit our website at www.aeroinc.com [aeroinc.com]



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic transmission is intended only for the addressee named above. It contains information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from use or disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, copying or dissemination of this transmission, or the taking of any action in reliance on its contents, or other use is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by reply electronic mail and then remove all traces of the electronic mail message from your system.

 From:
 Bruce Kindseth

 To:
 Duhamel, Peter (DEM)

Subject:[EXTERNAL] : RE: Gill Net ProposalDate:Friday, February 25, 2022 5:15:06 PM

Good Morning Mr Duhamel,

I am recreational fisherman and I am opposed to allowing commercial gill net fishermen to sell striped bass that they catch in their gill nets. I feel that this would serve as an incentive to target striped bass rather than try to avoid striped bass.

Bruce Kindseth Narragansett RI February 24, 2022

Mr. Terry Gray, Acting Director
Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management
235 Promenade Street
Providence, Rhode Island 02908

Re: Public Comment - Marine Fisheries Proposed Regulation Amendments

Dear Director Grey,

I am writing to express the position of the Rhode Island Commercial Rod and Reel Association (RICCRA) on proposed regulatory changes presented at the public hearing held on February 7, 2022.

Regulation 3.11-2 Commercial Striped Bass Management
A. General Category

We are in support of Industry Proposal 2. The goal of this proposal is to provide all General Category (GC) fishers with an equitable opportunity at harvesting the quota allocation by moving the first sub-period opening to June 1, 2022, and the second to July 6, 2022. This proposal also distrbutes the quota equally (50/50%) between both periods, giving fishermen in all parts of the State an equitable opportunity to harvest fish based on the June 1st opening. Past sub-period quota allocations of 60/40 % have typically resulted in overfishing in the first period, leaving the second period with less poundage and less opportunity than set by rule. We recognize the difficulty the Department faces in managing the limited amount of quota and the ease of running the periods together, but that limits the opportunity of fishers fishing the coastal areas. The move to a 50/50 % allocation will give all fishers an equitable opportunity and, if there is overage in the first period, give the second period a better chance of actually opening with close to 50% of the poundage remaining. The July 6th start date for the second period provides a defined pause between both periods and reduces effort on the busy holiday weekend.

This proposal also sets a date by which a portion of unharvested Floating Fish Trap (FFT) quota would be transfered to the General Catergory. The FFT currently receive 40% of the State quota, to the sole economic benefit of only 3 or 4 fishermen. Past years have seen the FFT leave up to thirty thousand pounds, approximately 1/5 of the total State quota, unharvested. This is a significant economic loss to the approximately 280 bass fishermen in the GC who should be given a realistic opportunity to harvest those fish. It also represents a significant income loss to our State fish buyers and prosessors. Past attempts to negotiate a transfer of the unharvested poundage to the GC have occurred too late in the season for most fishers to harvest the fish, as legal fish are difficult to find in State waters that late in the season. Hence the need for a fixed transfer date and protocol.

C. Gill Netting for Striped Bass

We oppose this modification to allow gill net harvesting and possession of Striped Bass in the General Category quota. Gill netting of Striped Bass has been historically prohibited by regulation. That was done for reason, and lacking signs that the Striped bass biomass has significantly increased it is hard to fathom why the Department would want to promote additional effort on that fishery. As previously stated, in the GC there are approximately 280 fishers allocated 90,822 pounds of fish. The GC regulations allow

fishing four days/week and the season lasts about 30 days. Fishing days are often limited by weather. Discard mortality for this predominantly rod and reel fishery is low. There are currently 199 fishers holding gill net endorsements and approximately 25 are actively gill net fishing. Gill nets are in the water every day, seven days a week. Discard mortality is high. The position of the supporters of this proposal was that it would be an incidental fishery and would reduce discard mortality. We find that hard to believe that it would not turn into a targeted fishery as the value of five legal striped bass typically exceeds the value of 50 pounds of summer flounder and 75 pounds of black sea bass combinded. It is logical that the value of the fish would draw latent effort into the fishery along with additional net deployment, resulting in increased Striped Bass discard mortallity.

While we object to this proposal others may think it has merit. If the Department believes it will be an incidental fishery and decides to allow it, gill net harvest should be part of the 40% allocated to the Floating Fish trap quota. As they are both net gear types it would make sense to modify the management tracking method to accomodate both. The GC will see additional effort from the 24 new PELs the Department is issuing this year. It is unfair and inequitable to push gill net effort on the GC quota along with the new PELs, while protecting the FFT from sharing any of the hardship. If the gill net harvest is truly incidental it would be able to be absorbed into the usual underage that the FFT turns back each year, with no economic harm to the fishers in either the FFT or the GC. If instituted, the program should be a pilot program with a sunset date of December 31, 2022 with results evaluated prior to continuance.

The Rhode Island Commercial Rod and Reel Association Kenneth Booth, Pres.

From: rickbellavance@gmail.com
To: Duhamel, Peter (DEM)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Striped Bass Gill Net proposal Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 9:24:04 AM

Hi Pete,

Please accept these brief comments regarding a proposal to allow gill net as a gear type allowed in the general category striped bass fishery. First, I would like to thank Division staff for taking the time to answer several questions I had about the proposal and clarifying current gill net regulations. I am not opposed to the concept raised in the proposal as a tool to reduce dead discarded striped bass. I still have continued concerns about the possibility of inactive gill net endorsed fisherman entering the fishery, even in a small way. As you know the striped bass fishery is overfished and subject to overfishing. At a time when every other user group is being asked to reduce mortality, it concerns me that any latent effort could be added to the fishery. If the Director ultimately decides to approve the proposal, I will offer two modifications that would go a long way to ease my concerns. First, I would treat the proposal as a pilot program with a sunset clause that would allow for an evaluation, to include accounting for any changes in effort and monitoring of landings and discards. Possibly a requirement to report discards. Secondly, I would consider a lower daily trip limit for the gill net gear fishery, with the potential to be raised if the program continues. This could act as a disincentive for latent gill net endorsement holders to get into the fishery to target striped bass. Easing into this gear allowance may be a prudent thing to do.

Thanks, Rick Bellavance

ITS TIME AGAIN TO FIGHT FOR OUR STRIPED BASS FISHERY!

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO HAVE SUPPORTED US IN THE PAST

PROPOSAL FOR 2022:

May 19 - June 30:

Allocation: 60% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

July 1 - Dec 31:

Allocation: 40% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

Continue current prohibition on striped bass caught by gill net.

If floating fish trap quota not met by <u>Sept 1</u>, DEM to work with that fishery to determine if and when part of their quota to be moved to general category. (Current process now begins Sept 30)

Please sign below if you wish to support these options. Thank you! Bo Christensen

PRINT NAME
WAYNELIPICH Wayne John 1648

John Stevens III Jan Age III 1527 PILL

Frank Muntefante
NORMAND ZWOLINSKI Winand Johnh 193 MP

JAMES ROBERGE
Andrew Lord

Douglas She han

976 MP

ITS TIME AGAIN TO FIGHT FOR OUR STRIPED BASS FISHERY!

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO HAVE SUPPORTED US IN THE PAST

PROPOSAL FOR 2022:

May 19 - June 30:

Allocation: 60% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

2 Pages

July 1 - Dec 31:

Allocation: 40% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

Continue current prohibition on striped bass caught by gill net.

If floating fish trap quota not met by <u>Sept 1</u>, DEM to work with that fishery to determine if and when part of their quota to be moved to general category. (Current process now begins Sept 30)

Please sign below if you wish to support these options. Thank you! Bo Christensen

PRINT NAME	SIGNATURE	LICENSE NUMBER
RICHARD BERUNG	Own By Dry	0.350
Adam Mi G. Venen	ac	1609
MARTIN MCGINIA	mat 252	inp 205
STEVE BARRY	Atry Bur	15-88
Richard Lonks	Reclared	
MARK SHERER	and the second second	1775
	Marktohum	M.P. 1186
Charle Rayhill	Worler Karpary	835
TIM ROVINDELI	18-2-1	li
Jeff Hall	JEFF HAIT	1864
RICK MINOR	Gelfard and	130 MF
WHEN FULL, USE ATTACHE	D PAGE	
RAPH PETEMEN	The state of the s	1559
Atom Sile	Ah. Ph. &C.	106 MP
Philip B. SPAU	oca	

PRINT NAME

ANTHONY Della Isano

SIGNATURE

LICENSE NUMBER

493

mp yor

ITS TIME AGAIN TO FIGHT FOR OUR STRIPED BASS FISHERY!

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO HAVE SUPPORTED US IN THE PAST **PROPOSAL FOR 2022:**

May 19 - June 30:

Allocation: 60% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

July 1 - Dec 31:

Allocation: 40% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

Continue current prohibition on striped bass caught by gill net.

If floating fish trap quota not met by <u>Sept 1</u>, DEM to work with that fishery to determine if and when part of their quota to be moved to general category. (Current process now begins Sept 30)

Please sign below if you wish to support these options. Thank you! Bo Christensen

Allan Dinnillel allaw Dunlille MP 1502

THOMAS PARA

David Arnold

TROMAN CL SMTM Lackethan MP 564

FROMAN CL SMTM Lackethan MP. 156

Odvid Dunlille Robert Sevigny Jr. Robert N. Seveny J. PEL 1818

Stephen Palen

Stephen Palen

WHEN FULL, USE ATTACHED PAGE

ITS TIME AGAIN TO FIGHT FOR OUR STRIPED BASS FISHERY!

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO HAVE SUPPORTED US IN THE PAST

PROPOSAL FOR 2022:

2 pages

May 19 - June 30:

Allocation: 60% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

July 1 - Dec 31:

Allocation: 40% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

Continue current prohibition on striped bass caught by gill net.

If floating fish trap quota not met by <u>Sept 1</u>, DEM to work with that fishery to determine if and when part of their quota to be moved to general category. (Current process now begins Sept 30)

Please sign below if you wish to support these options. Thank you! Bo Christensen

PRINT NAME	SIGNATURE	LICENSE NUMBER
Bo Christensen	Bolliter	MP 429
Peter Reo	Pet JR.J.	Pel 001829
William lee	an	DEL 1834
DOM BCANCECIA	Mil	RL770
PAUL NEVENERLINA	MM	PEL #1759
RolphIbello	Celebyll.	MP 0038
Christophoe Hellber	Charles Augus	Al 579
GERALD Schey	Sell of	MP00334
WAITER CARRON	Wat Gull	MP 142
Mike Mc Elay	Unha McEly	MP 0087
WHEN FULL, USE ATTACHED PA	AGE	
CURY DAWLEY	Chites. Duly	mp 168

MANUEL VICINA

MANUEL VICINA

TOLE Zollven

Armen Jetoren Manuel 1863

Wayne Cartier Wagnelow 1424

Atton EMERY Atton Mult 569

Ben Jamen Kearns Deur 1809

Celwend 2 Vontena 305

Robert Simmons Robert Simmons 1477

ITS TIME AGAIN TO FIGHT FOR OUR STRIPED BASS FISHERY!

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO HAVE SUPPORTED US IN THE PAST PROPOSAL FOR 2022:

May 19 - June 30:

Allocation: 60% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

July 1 - Dec 31:

Allocation: 40% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

Continue current prohibition on striped bass caught by gill net.

If floating fish trap quota not met by <u>Sept 1</u>, DEM to work with that fishery to determine if and when part of their quota to be moved to general category. (Current process now begins Sept 30)

Please sign below if you wish to support these options. Thank you! Bo Christensen

PRINT NAME	SIGNATURE	LICENSE N	UMBER
RONALD FOR	REST Randel For	ual 00/3/	9
CHARCES 500	3M Charles for	6 Ag 94	M,
EDWARD R.	TREVIII Elle	cord / Sent	7 4 38
BRIBA MUTA	o pri	1268	
	Rus July	906	
Aussell Field	, ,	,	
ZACHARY ANDrew	Zoull Fired you	1357	
Jody F King	98/W	516	
Mark beens	Just Ven MI	\833	
AL OM . Prom	El. lant -		

ITS TIME AGAIN TO FIGHT FOR OUR STRIPED BASS FISHERY!

THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO HAVE SUPPORTED US IN THE PAST PROPOSAL FOR 2022:

May 19 - June 30:

Allocation: 60% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

July 1 - Dec 31:

Allocation: 40% of general category quota (unchanged from 2021)

Continue current prohibition on striped bass caught by gill net.

If floating fish trap quota not met by <u>Sept 1</u>, DEM to work with that fishery to determine if and when part of their quota to be moved to general category. (Current process now begins Sept 30)

Please sign below if you wish to support these options. Thank you! Bo Christensen

120005-10101

John HANNey

PRINT NAME

355

000915

From: Peter Chang

To: <u>Duhamel, Peter (DEM)</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Gill Net Proposal.

Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 12:32:32 PM

Hi Peter,

I am writing to oppose allowing gill net boats to possess and sell striped bass. I am RISAA member and find that I see way too many bycatch instances with "net boats." Adding this "fishing modality" to a declining biomass in conjunction with the inefficiency a net produces in terms of 5 fish per day limit is asking for a large detrimental effect (dead discards of striped bass.) Rhode Island is known for our prized Striped Bass sport fishery. People from all over the world visit to experience Striped Bass fishing. If this wasn't true, then why was the Striped Bass named the state fish in 2000? Let's not kill off our State Fish.

Thank you for allowing us a voice in this matter.

Peter Chang

From: <u>David Fewster</u>

To: Duhamel, Peter (DEM); Lake, John (DEM)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Comments DEM meeting 2/7/22

Date: Thursday, February 10, 2022 8:47:10 PM

Good evening folks . I'd like to comment on the meeting from Monday night. Thanks David Fewster

#1- I'm in favor of Proposal #1 for commercial Bass season. Early season

#2- I'm in favor of the fish trap proposal to transfer unused quota.

#3- I strongly oppose the gillnet proposal. This is no time to add a user group to Harvest striped bass and create even more pressure on a very small quota. Unfortunately this method of fishing will also create a fishery that is almost impossible to police. Nets will be moved to take advantage of a species that was not previously targeted in recent years. Hopefully we will remember what caused the collapse years ago. I release my by By-catch and it swims away. Unfortunately a net doesn't and never will.

#4- I am in favor of status quo for Tautog proposal. Same quota/limits/season.

#5- I'm in favor of tagging before off loading

6#- pilot program.

I'm in favor of adding 10 new users

I'm in favor of including original participants. (equipment investment)

I'm in favor of including sea bass

I'm in favor of choosing winter or summer for fluke.

This program allows me to fish safely (Choosing days)

This program creates less by-catch, allows fewer fuel costs, gives us time for proper repairs, Allows for quality time with family....

By extending this program we will get more data to make better decisions. Perhaps a questionnaire if people would participate?

I prefer 7 days limit. But 6 days would cover expenses and be acceptable.

I prefer the program runs all season to harvest sea bass and fluke. This will also give us more DATA. If not Spring and early summer would be better than the fall when the weather is more unsettled for the program. Or first and second weeks of the month of the season.

Thank you for accepting my comments. David Fewster MP753

Sent from my iPhone

From: <u>David Fewster</u>

To: Lake, John (DEM); Duhamel, Peter (DEM)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Gillnet problem comment

Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 9:25:37 AM

Good afternoon Folks,

During a recent striped bass conversation. The Gill net proposal came up. Many of the commercial group use gillnets to get bait (Pogies) in Narraganset bay Including myself. We get striped bass mixed in almost all the time all this is done at night. I don't know the numbers but allot of people use the 100' nets. I have personally seen multiple nets used in the bay and Bristol Harbour by a single vessel, no license is required. So we are not talking about 15 guys as stated at the Monday meeting the number is unknown... A 100 lbs of Pogies on your boat to equalize your "by-catch allowance" is a quick set in the spring. A quality gillnet For Pogies is \$175, a cheap investment to get 100lbs of Striped Bass "by-catch".

We all agree in a perfect world, there should be no wasted fish but unfortunately. The wheels are already turning to maximize on this Potential opportunity.

Please consider these facts when deciding on this proposal. Thankyou in advance.

David F

Sent from my iPhone

To The Rhode Island Fisheries Management Council,

My name is Dean Pesante, owner/operator of F/V Oceana based out of Point Judith, R.I. I am writing to you on behalf of myself and fellow Rhode Island gillnet fishermen to propose the inclusion of gillnets and gillnet fishermen in the General Category Striped Bass Fishery south of the line, outside of Narragansett Bay.

At the present time, gillnets are the only gear type not allowed to land striped bass in Rhode Island state waters south of the line stated above. NO user group or gear type should be more entitled to participate in this fishery than another user group or gear type. Not allowing gillnets and gillnet fishermen to land striped bass is arbitrary and discriminatory.

As gillnet fishermen, we DO NOT specifically target striped bass. However, while fishing within our main fisheries (bluefish, scup, dogfish, seabass, bonita, etc.), we inevitably catch a small percentage of striped bass. The current regulation has created a regulatory discard problem that we would like to address and resolve. The change we propose is to allow a given number of striped bass (as dictated by the general category trip limit) that we catch to be landed as bycatch with other fish from the day's catch. Specifically, we propose that the allowed total weight of striped bass landed (measured in pounds) to be equal to or lesser than the total combined weight of all other fish landed. In other words, striped bass would account for at most 50% of the total catch. Striped bass would not be landed exclusively.

This change in current regulation will allow us to fish in a more responsible manner without having to waste the resource by being forced to discard dead fish. Additionally, this change would provide us equal opportunities as other fishermen.

We feel this proposal is fair, equitable, and in the best interest of conservation and the gillnet fishery.

Respectfully,

Dean Pesante F/V Oceana

01/06/2022

From: Parkins, Christopher (DEM)
To: Duhamel, Peter (DEM)

Subject:Fwd: [EXTERNAL] : Strip bass quotaDate:Thursday, February 24, 2022 9:10:39 AM

Get Outlook for Android

From: Ian Cam <soups33@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 8:36:45 AM

To: Parkins, Christopher (DEM) < Christopher. Parkins@dem.ri.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Strip bass quota

My name is Ian Campbell as a fish trap owner I am against the September 1st rollover date. Also I support jeff grants comments on the Gill net proposal

Sent from my iPhone

From: Joe

To: <u>Duhamel, Peter (DEM)</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Gill Net Striped Bass proposal Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 7:47:50 AM

Mr. Duhamel,

I relocated to Wakefield, RI 6 years ago and have been in awe of the south county shoreline fishing opportunities. To take steps that will present more challenges to the health of the striped bass population is a bad idea. Please consider ideas that will ensure a healthy striped bass population for years to come. Our children and grandchildren will appreciate your forward thinking.

Thank you for listening.
Joe Coppola
7 Misty Court
Wakefield, RI 02879-1631

From: <u>Jason Ferschke</u>
To: <u>Duhamel, Peter (DEM)</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Support for Proposal #1 (Fluke, Seabass, Tog)

Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 5:47:54 PM

Mr. Duhamel,

Please accept this email as my vote/voice of support for the following:

Black Sea Bass: Proposal #1

TauTog: Proposal #1 Fluke: Proposal #1

I believe that these proposals are a great step towards protecting our fisheries well into the future. No matter the species, on my boat they are all treated with respect with the hopes that my grandchildren will someday be able to enjoy saltwater fishing (In line with these proposals). I believe these proposals also seek to maintain balance with a give and take approach.

I appreciate the challenges of your agency and thank you for accepting the input.

Respectfully,

Jason Ferschke

Sent from my iPad

 From:
 micheal mcelroy

 To:
 Duhamel, Peter (DEM)

 Subject:
 [EXTERNAL] : Gill Nets

Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 7:14:27 PM

Hi Peter

I,m 1000% against them // by catchif they have a 1000# on deck & they have moved a new to [catch] stripersthen they can take 1000# as by -catch

actually they know where to set an not to set . that would quickly change if this was to happen

the bass are already getting slammed by commercial at BI the NY charter an head boats that fish there

a n the EEZ an don,t give a damn about any laws , also the recs the pull large bass from the deep

while continuing to troll releasing them expecting them to surviveREALLY ??

thank you sir

Mike McElroy M/P 0087

From: <u>bruce bain</u>

To: <u>Duhamel, Peter (DEM)</u>

Cc: Andrea Chartier; Joe Coppola; Rich Heffernan; Adam Jastrzebski; Bruce Kindseth; Richie Reich; Mike Rogers; Al

<u> Williams</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Gill netting

Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 6:14:12 PM

Greetings mr duhamel,

My name is Bruce Bain and I'm currently president of Narragansett surf casters club we are a nonprofit group of like minded fisherman in south county ri, and I speak for our roughly 120 members that we strongly oppose the use of gill netting in ri or any coastal New England state. After witnessing the serious decline in the striped bass and bluefish stocks in recent years and considering these species are coastal migratory fish we feel that the use of gill nets will be devastating to our fishery. Thank you, BRUCE R BAIN president Narragansett Surfcasters

Sent from my iPad

Proposed Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Regulation Change

Signature,	Printed Name
Lay Practor	RAY MINGHORE
	CAROL ANN SALVATORE
Charlet Musatratore	ELIC STIMPSON
	Rilevin King
age of the	CARL JOHNSON
Jan Jan Dalla San Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan J	CARMINE BALZANO
THORNOR	PAT SINGTON
July 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	JASON PATRONC
Joe Ambreson	Joe Antroll
En Cemo	Bryan Cesavero
Sucressano	RicHard
talking to	RICHARD Liener
A Service of the serv	ARthur Cardente
Will Love S	Peter Vesello
ann -	Sugar Sullan
161106	
10 cc y mg mg	Zaeh Bernier
The same of the sa	

Proposed Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Regulation Change

Signature	Printed Name
CAN THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE P	
Fall Milling	DAVID DIDINO
Kohn M	Kosoot Ryan
Joh Palmagno	John Almagno
MCCAN	John P. Almemo Jr.
Steples accurages	Stephen Almagno
Folt & Croff.	Robert Ciotti
Tichuld Vasco	Richard Voccio
M Show,	Ryon 1, Voccio
TOGALA	BAINN NIMINES

250-25-25-25-25-25-25-25-25-25-25-25-25-25-	

Proposed Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Regulation Change

\wedge	
Signature /	Printed Name
MA G Many	RODER W MAWCIWI
Ham J. Mala	Daniel R. McGAHANI
De Brow litts	Donad Brouillette
Kin hysein	KEVIN MCGAHAN
Anthe	Soc Congao
Jan By	Bryan Rlumba
Robert Belalally	BILLAND ROBITAILLE
(mare Selfee a	ARMAND TEIXETRA
Han/ Renky)	HENRY PBERKACK, JR
Jan Browner	PAJL BERNACKI
Mapfield V	BRUCE WEINSTEIN
Must be a second of the second	Gautral Bodh
Ami Will	Ryan Mangni
The factor	Matthew Mines
CANA_	Charles Kuller
An An	Bring Lagin
Marc Ho	manuel Yearly
	RichARd VAIIS,
Sen Miell	Sean J Mide
Mittalle	Chistopher J. Caccia
ou yypu	0 111/1010

Proposed Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Regulation Change

Signature	Printed Name
Leil Do	Keith Goumans
Jue Regghelme VI	JOE SCIENTIGNE UR
JH Wy	Ger Mahone
	Lale Markoncy
Sy n.Co	Scott CARSON
Last full	Scott wight
huy M	SCOTT MARTON
Buara Sciencion	Brianos Scichilone
Tina Mahonez	Brianou Scichilore Tina Mononey
<u> </u>	

Proposed Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Regulation Change

Signat∳re	Printed Name
John Martyni	John MARTINI
Ritamartine	Rita Martini
- AMus Shusa	Bullara SCAMVIGIO
Mary Off	Michiga Dilieco
Pasquale Dimonsie	DANIEL WORTHUP
A Thon Dero	Pasquale DINONSIE
72000	Alathony Nero
Jack Stanley	JACK STANZA
Jal Jalan	John Sheldon til
Janen Mallo	A SBTA
all Dion	AL DION
Properto Placo	ROSETTA RAUO
Jamp Manuelles	RAYMOND MORYOCCO
	JOE GOODNESS
() Mela Vallo	Double Chine
	Dold Chine
13 gypnago XI (LO	ROYMOND G. TRES
Will Allen	BILL HLLEN

Proposed Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Regulation Change

Signature / /	Printed Name
Richard Reich	RICHARDA REICH
Oliff Risher	CliFF RICHER
The offers	Kevin Stone
13/Illa	Robert L Grillo
Cut & Umbre	Albert I-Vickers
BOB VALE	BV
Slap Valles	Toin VAILES
	Gary HZZZrd
Dik Murgher	Dick Murphy
May Find	ENRIC STRIKE
Many Starono-	NANCY STEFAND

Proposed Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Regulation Change

Signature	Printed Name
Lusa m Rocchio	Lisa M. Rocchio
Dank Rushic	David P. Rocen'o
Michael Flets	MICHAEL FLEK
Unita, Whelaw	ANITH WHELAN
Michael Siafulers	Michael Scichilonie
Lusan m Scickelone	Susan m Scichilone
	Michael Rocchio
1 pocler	PHILLIP BOCCTHIO
Of Garal	Phillip Lomastro
Line Longoto	Linda Lomasta
Brean Kelly	BRIAN KELLY
DITSOC Cappbell	Borne Collell

Proposed Rhode Island Marine Fisheries Regulation Change

Signature	Printed Name
Elog Cemy	Elisa Canıll
John Flestel	John Saetheer
Jone Sin Justine	Joseph Scielitonie
John John	Jeffaro Josher
A R	H.R. BYEN SMART
John L blo taging	John LD, PANNI
The state of the s	Hung Slett
(30)	(5 to 0
Gi 2 Cont	A) Conti
(Com Walto	DAN WAITER
DOTT Stowy	SCOTT SLOCUM

From: Rich Hittinger

To: <u>Duhamel, Peter (DEM)</u>

Cc: Greg F Vespe; Dawn Wood; McManus, Conor (DEM); Lake, John (DEM)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Comments regarding Gill net proposal

Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 4:01:16 PM

I am writing these comments in addition to the comments that RISAA provided at the Public Hearing held on February 7, 2022 relative to Proposed amendments to commercial striped bass management regarding the gill netting prohibition (section 3.11.2(C)).

As Chair of the RISAA Legislative Committee these comments reflect the voice of the RISAA membership. At the February 7 hearing Greg Vespe spoke on behalf of the RISAA membership in opposition to allowing gill net boats to possess and sell striped bass.

This email supports that comment. Since the hearing we have heard from more of our members why this is not a good idea for striped bass at this time. Here are some reasons for our conclusion:

- 1. The striped bass biomass is already on the decline and any additional fishing pressure could cause a negative impact on the population.
- 2. Gill nets have a history in RI (when gill nets were allowed for striped bass harvest) of setting and hauling nets very close to shore where they have been in conflict with recreational fishing both from shore and from small boats.
- 3. Allowing the sale of striped bass by gill net fishers could actually increase dead discards because if nets are placed in an area where striped bass may be caught there may be far more dead discards considering that only 5 fish per day can be retained.
- **4.** Any further benefit to gill net fishing may increase the number of commercial fishers who actively fish gill nets.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Rich Hittinger 1st Vice President Legislative Committee Chairman Rhode Island Saltwater Anglers Association Captain Mark Sherer
Gannet Outdoor Adventures
1059 Frenchtown Road
East Greenwich, RI 02818

February 20, 2022

Mr. Pete Duhamel 3 Ft. Wetherill Rd Jamestown. RI 02835

Dear Mr Duhamel:

With regard to recreational Black Sea Bass, Fluke, Tautog and Scup, I support the Proposals set forth in the RI Charter and Party Boat Association letter dated February 15, 2022.

With regard to Commercial General Category Striped Bass, I support the Division proposal. In addition, I support changing the floating fish trap rollover provision to roll 50% of any remaining floating fish trap allocation on September 1.

My opinion of the industry proposal to remove the gillnet prohibition on Striped Bass is that this would motivate gill net fisherman to target Striped Bass and result in more dead discards than we are currently experiencing. There are approximately 190 gill net endorsements with 25 active. If the prohibition is lifted, some number of the 165 inactive will choose to put nets in the water.

If the Council, Department and Director ultimately choose to allow this prohibition, I propose a sunset clause with an evaluation period before continuing in future seasons. I also propose that the daily limit for gillnet should be 2 fish. This would deter gillnet fisherman from targeting Striped Bass and also deter inactive fisherman from becoming active.

Respectfuly Submitted

Captain Mark Sherer

Good Evening Mr. Duhamel

My name is Stesha Campbell. I am part owner of a fish trap company operating out of Point Judith. It has been brought to my attention that the DEM is considering making a hard rollover date of September first, moving all remaining trap quota of striped bass to the general category. I am strongly opposed to this amendment and am in favor of the current status quo.

Regards,

Stesha Campbell

From: <u>Jeff Grant</u>

To: <u>Duhamel, Peter (DEM)</u>; <u>Ballou, Robert (DEM)</u>; <u>McManus, Conor (DEM)</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Fwd: Striped Bass Regs
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 12:55:59 PM

Striped Bass quota managment comments:

As a floating trap owner, I am against a mandatory rollover of quota to the general category on sept 1. This is well before we even have an opportunity to catch bass during the fall run, usually from sept 20-oct 10. It would completely remove any chance of having a successful fishery.

Also, if there was little quota left it would take away at least half, giving us no chance to fill our allocation. While the system we use is not perfect, it has worked well up until the last couple years when a fall run of bass never materialized. The comments I have herd all relate to not leaving fish on the table. If the council/director does not allow gillnetting for bass as currently proposed year round under the GC quota, I believe a solution to many of the issues brought forward for striped bass could be solved by adopting the following language:

For section 3.11.2 C change to:

C. Gillnet Prohibition for Striped Bass: No person shall take or possess any striped bass while gillnetting, or while hauling a gillnet from 1/1 to 9/30 nor from 10/1 thru 12/31 without an equivelent weight of other fish on board

This allows for the harvest of striped bass by gill net under the general category fishery from 10/1 thru 12/31 as an incidental fishery

This accomplishes the following goals/alleviates these concern:

- 1) Gill nets are capable of harvesting striped bass later in the year, after the general category has greatly reduced harvest rates. This would allow gill netting to harvest remaining general category quota that could otherwise go unharvested. There would be no competition with rod and reel fishermen, as they have mostly stopped harvest by these dates as seen in the harvest rates from the prior 2 years
- 2) It would eliminate the need to roll over floating fish trap quota to the general catagory at an early date. This would allow the floating fish traps to fish into the fall without having quota removed from the category prior to the "fall run" of bass. It would give the trap operators the greatest chance for success, while simultaneously reducing the chance of leaving fish unharvested from the states overall quota
- 3) It would allow the ONLY gear type not permitted to harvest striped bass access to a valuable fishery, one they were once allowed to participate in historically.
- 4)The general category quota would be unaffected by such a change.
- 5) Fits into the standard set in Title 20 to fishery management that states:
- (G) Conservation and management measures shall, to the extent practicable: (I) Minimize by-catch and (II) To the extent by-catch cannot be avoided, minimize the mortality of the by-catch;

By allowing harvest of striped bass by gillnets, fish that were required to be discarded may now be kept, sold and counted against the state quota, all while having a negligable impact on existing fisheries.

6) Would give a "soft opening" for gillnetting striped bass that would be much easier to monitor for the state and act as a trial run to see the effects of gill netting on the general catagory landings

Thank you, Jeff Grant

From: <u>Joe Macari</u>

To: Lengyel, Nicole (DEM)

Cc: Lake, John (DEM); McNamee, Jason (DEM); Ballou, Robert (DEM); Duhamel, Peter (DEM)

Subject: [EXTERNAL]: Removal of Gillnet prohibition on striped bass

Date: Saturday, February 19, 2022 3:49:55 PM

I would like to address the Industry Proposal that was brought forth at the RIDEM Workshop held on Jan 4, 2022, i.e., concerning the RI Commercial Striped Bass fishery:

Industry Proposal #1-

- · Remove gillnet prohibition on striped bass
- Gillnetters encountering striped bass resulting in unnecessary discards
- Request allowance for a small number of fish
- Considerations:
 - Possession Limit
 - GC or FFT quota

I do not believe that the above proposal is good for the Commercial Striped Bass fishery in Rhode Island.

As it is, General Category (and Floating Fish Trap fishery) has seen its RI quota reduced over the past several years due to changes made by the Atlantic Coast Marine Fishery Council relative to management measures to reduce mortality, etc.

We DO NOT need another user group to participate in the fishery with the little amount of fish available to the established user groups historically involved with the fishery at this point in time.

The gillnetters claim in there proposal that "Gillnetters encountering striped bass resulting in unnecessary discards";

I do not believe that is justification to include them in a commercial striped bass category (General) that is already seeing their viable catch availability being reduced on a somewhat consistent basis.

RIDEM has indicated that there are currently 199 gillnet endorsements in Rhode Island. Currently, catch and effort data indicates that about 25 gillnetters are actively fishing. With a 5 fish limit per day, four days a week, it is possible that 2500 pounds (based on 20 pound average fish weight) of striped bass could be caught and sold daily or possibly 10,000 pounds per week. AND with the gillnet fishery legally allowed to land bass, there will be an incentive for additional, previously inactive gillnetters to actively participate in the fishery. For sure, added pressure will be directed to an already diminished fishery. AND that added pressure will create EVEN MORE dead by-catch. I do not believe that is the way Rhode Island would want to be represented in the current striped bass fishery.

The General Category Quota is a mostly rod and reel fishery; we must be an ACTIVE participatory fishery in order to be successful; as is, the rod and reel fishery only has several viable commercial fish that we can direct our efforts towards. I believe it would be prudent on the Department to let the present General Category striped bass fishery REMAIN AS IS.

In summation, I am NOT in favor of Industry Proposal #1

Secondly, I am in support of Status Quo for the commercial Striped Bass General Category, i.e.,-

" **2022 Management:** The Division is not proposing changes to commercial GC Striped Bass management for 2022".

I would suggest that the opening be moved to May 23, which is a Monday

I would also support **part** of Industry Proposal #2-

FFT ROLLOVER:

 On September 1, a minimum of 50% of the remaining FFT allocation shall be transferred to the GC and the GC fishery shall be opened until that allocation is estimated to be harvested.

Sincerely,

Joe Macari

Jmacari1@cox.net

PEL# 793