# **Concise Explanatory Statement**

## **Rhode Island Government Register**

In accordance with the Administrative Procedures Act, R.I. Gen. Laws § 42-35-2.6, the following is a concise explanatory statement:

AGENCY: Rhode Island Department of Business Regulation

("Department")

**DIVISION:** The Office of Cannabis Regulation ("OCR")

RULE IDENTIFIER: 230-RICR-80-10-01

**REGULATION TITLE:** Rhode Island Industrial Hemp Program

**RULEMAKING ACTION:** Direct Final Amendment

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD: May 12, 2022, to June 11, 2022

#### A. Statement of Purpose of the Amendments.

The Department proposed to delete § 1.11(J)(5) wherein it prohibited a retailer from selling products intended for aerosolization or vaporization. The final version of the 2021 amendments (effective 10/10/21) deleted a similar and symbiotic provision at § 1.11(H)(1)(f), as represented in the last Concise Explanatory Statement. Vape delivery systems and their associated cartridges/products are already regulated pursuant to 216-RICR-50-15-6, Licensing of Electronic Nicotine-Delivery System Distributors and Retailers, administered by RIDOH. § 1.11 (J)(5) should have been deleted in conjunction with § 1.11(H)((1)(f) in the 2021 proposed amendments. This rulemaking corrected that oversight.

### B. Summary of the Regulatory Analysis.

There are no costs associated with this amendment. The benefits of this amendment are a reduction in confusion for retailers and businesses that sell CBD. In the development of the proposed amendment, consideration was given to: (1) alternative approaches; (2) overlap or duplication with other statutory and regulatory provisions; and (3) significant economic impact on small business. No alternative approach, duplication, or overlap was identified based upon available information.

#### C. Changes to the Text of the Rule

One question was received that inquired whether hemp-derived CBD vape products were regulated in Rhode Island as a hemp-derived CBD products or Electronic Nicotine-Delivery System (ENDS) products. This question made no comment on the proposed regulation.

No objections were received.

The final rule is the same as what was proposed.