

## Review Online Public Comments

440-RICR-10-00-3

### Licensing of Underground Utility Contractors

---

Comment ID : #10287-5

Not displayed to public

An additional ten dollars (\$10.00) on top of the \$50.00 pre-license and then \$200.00 license fee, plus paying for test. I feel the \$10.00. should be removed. 3.5.1 New Applicants k. A true and accurate one inch by one inch (1" x 1") photograph of applicant or a digitized photograph taken by the Board for an additional fee of ten dollars (\$10.00), if required; and

Comment By : **Robert Jones**

On : **09/20/2018**

No attachments

Consider removing \$10 fee –

*See Also 3.5.1 (9) - Photo: If not included in pre-license application, the applicant must provide the Board with a true and accurate 1" x 1" photograph or digitalized photograph taken by the Board for an additional fee of \$10.00.*

Comment ID : #10287-17

Not displayed to public

440-RICR-10-00-3 Licensing of Underground Utility Contractors (Amendment) • In reviewing this document it was noticed that none of these pages were numbered. Was this intentional? • Additionally, the current law was not removed, so it is still in effect as filed on August 13, 2015, which became effective on September 2, 2015. The sections being changed should be crossed out, as in the previous section 8061, otherwise there will be conflicts and confusion as to what to follow. The development of statewide standards was a major goal for consistency, and public safety to reduce the regulations that can be complied by each city and town. Similar to the building code where all cities, towns and the state are required to conform to one specific code, having different requirements makes it very difficult for contractors as well as other professionals to do their jobs properly. • Not sure why section 3.5.2 Grandfather clause is part of this regulation; the time period for this has expired so it is not necessary to be included. • Not sure why in all the sections: Sub Chapter 00-NA is in each of the sections. Does it to have a purpose?

Comment By : **George Whalen**

On : **09/28/2018**

Page numbering specified by the RICR system

“Grandfather” clause could / should be removed

ORR RICR formatting requirement